

RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION REGARDING AN APPLICATION FOR A PROPOSED TIRE-SHREDDING FACILITY IN THE CORPORATE LIMITS OF THE CITY OF CROSSVILLE AND COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND.

WHEREAS, an application has been submitted by Upper Cumberland Tire Shredding for a tire-shredding facility to be located within the corporate limits of the City of Crossville, within the County of Cumberland Tennessee; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to T.C.A. § 68-211-703, et. seq., the City of Crossville and County of Cumberland jointly declared a period of public comment to begin on February 3, 2015 and extending to and including March 8, 2015; such notice published in the *Crossville Chronicle* and posted at various locations, including signage required by T.C.A. § 68-211-703; and

WHEREAS, a joint public hearing was held by the City of Crossville and the County of Cumberland on the proposed facility on Monday, March 9, 2015, at 5:00 p.m. CST at the City Hall of the City of Crossville, located at 392 N. Main St., Crossville, TN; and

WHEREAS, the proposed facility owner or operator who was heard at the public hearing was:

Todd Hinch and Stanton Brewer
Upper Cumberland Tire Shredding
561 Southwood Drive
Crossville, TN 38572

for a facility to be located at 302 Maryetta Street, Crossville, Tennessee, and may be located on the control map in the Assessor's Office of Cumberland County, Tennessee, at Control Map 100, Parcel 002.00; and

WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the City of Crossville and County of Cumberland evaluated the application pursuant to T.C. A. § 68-211-704(b) using the following criteria:

- (1) The type of waste to be disposed of at the solid waste processing facility;
- (2) The method of disposal to be used at the solid waste processing facility ;
- (3) The projected impact on surrounding areas from noise and odor created by the proposed solid waste processing facility;
- (4) The projected impact on property values on surrounding areas created by the proposed solid waste processing facility;
- (5) The adequacy of existing roads and bridges to carry the increased traffic projected to result from the proposed solid waste processing facility;
- (6) The economic impact on the county, city or both;
- (7) The compatibility with existing development or zoning plans; and
- (8) Any other factor which may affect the public health, safety or welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CROSSVILLE, TENNESSEE by a vote of ____ Aye ____ No that a permit for the proposed facility be _____ (approved/disapproved).

THIS the _____ day of _____, 2015

Mayor

Councilmember

Councilmember

Councilmember

Councilmember

ATTEST:

City Clerk