



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 16-0444 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Ethics Event #9
Type: Resolution **Status:** Other Business
File created: 10/7/2016 **In control:** City Council
On agenda: 11/10/2016 **Final action:** 11/10/2016
Title: Ethics Complaint - Event Nine involving Councilman Jesse Kerley
Sponsors: Pete Souza
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: 1. Personnel Manual page 18

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
11/10/2016	1	City Council	deferred	

Ethics Complaint - Event Nine involving Councilman Jesse Kerley

SUMMARY:

On June 2, 2016 Councilman Kerley did stalk and assault me (Pete Souza) on City property, both a criminal violation and that part of the concept of leadership that impacts on the City that is in the personnel manual (page 18). If the manual discusses the interaction of employees and council persons, then it stands to reason the standards held by the employees are the same standards inherent to the officials as outlined in the charter. So those words have the reasonable potential to adversely erode morale and respect for authority and then it becomes a matter for official concern. Event (9) is being dealt with currently with the State of Tennessee vs. Jesse Kerley. It is mentioned herein as it impacts on ethic violations that are hereto not followed.

City Council shall either determine that the complaint has merit, determine that the complaint does not have merit or determine that the complaint has sufficient merit to warrant further investigation. If the Council determines that a complaint warrants further investigation, it shall authorize an investigation by the City Attorney or another individual or entity chosen by the City Council. (§ 1-710 (3))

BUDGET ACCOUNT:

NECESSARY COUNCIL ACTION: Determine merits of complaint